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## Planar Dynamical System

- Second-order system with natural frequency $\omega_{n}$ and damping ratio $\zeta$ :

$$
\ddot{y}(t)+2 \zeta \omega_{n} \dot{y}(t)+\omega_{n}^{2} y(t)=u(t)
$$

- State-space model with $x_{1}=y$ and $x_{2}=\dot{y} / \omega_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{1} \\
\dot{x}_{2}
\end{array}\right] } & =\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \omega_{n} \\
-\omega_{n} & -2 \zeta \omega_{n}
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{A}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]+\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{B}} u \\
y & =\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{C}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Planar dynamical system: a system with two state variables $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$


## Phase Portrait

- The state trajectory $\mathbf{x}(t)$ of a dynamical system $\dot{\mathbf{x}}=F(\mathbf{x})$ may be visualized as a time plot or a phase portrait
- Time plot: plots state components $x_{i}(t)$ as a function of time $t$
- Vector field: plots the vector $F(\mathbf{x})$ as an arrow at different states $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
- Phase portrait: plots state components relative to each other, e.g., $x_{2}$ vs $x_{1}$, by following the vector field associated with different initial conditions



## Equilibrium Points

An equilibrium point $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of a dynamical system $\dot{\mathbf{x}}=F(\mathbf{x})$ satisfies:

$$
F\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)=0 .
$$

- An equilibrium point is a stationary operating condition for the system
- If started at an equilibrium point, a system remains there for all time:

$$
\mathbf{x}\left(t_{0}\right)=\mathbf{x}_{e} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{x}(t)=\mathbf{x}_{e}, \quad \text { for all } t \geq t_{0}
$$

- Nonlinear dynamical systems $\dot{\mathbf{x}}=F(\mathbf{x})$ can have zero, one, or more equilibria
- Linear dynamical systems $\dot{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{A x}$ can have one ( $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathbf{0}$ when $\mathbf{A}$ is nonsingular) or infinitely many (when $\mathbf{A}$ is singular) equilibria


## Example: Pendulum

- Consider a pendulum with mass $m$, length $I$, and angle $\theta$ under the influence of gravity acceleration $g$ :

$$
m l^{2} \ddot{\theta}=m g / \sin \theta
$$

- State-space model with $x_{1}=\theta, x_{2}=\dot{\theta}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{x}_{1} \\
\dot{x}_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{2} \\
\frac{g}{T} \sin \left(x_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Equilibria:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{2} \\
\frac{g}{T} \sin \left(x_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{x}_{e}=\left[\begin{array}{c} 
\pm k \pi \\
0
\end{array}\right], k=0,1,2 \ldots
$$

## Example: Pendulum

- Equilibria: $\mathbf{x}_{e}=\left[\begin{array}{c} \pm k \pi \\ 0\end{array}\right], k=0,1,2 \ldots$

Equilibrium 1 (unstable)


Equilibrium 2 (stable)


- Phase portrait:



## Limit Cycles

- Besides equilibrium points, nonlinear systems may exhibit stationary periodic solutions called limit cycles
- A limit cycle corresponds to an oscillatory periodic trajectory in the time domain and a circular trajectory in the phase domain
- Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}_{1}=x_{2}+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \dot{x}_{2}=-x_{1}+x_{2}\left(1-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Stability

- Aleksandr Lyapunov made many important contributions to the theory of dynamical system stability
- An equilibrium point is stable if, when the system is started near the equilibrium point, its state remains near the equilibrium point over time
- An equilibrium point is asymptotically stable if, when the system is started near the equilibrium point, its state converges to the equilibrium point

A. Lyapunov


## Stable Equilbrium

An equilibrium $\mathbf{x}_{e}$ of $\dot{\mathbf{x}}=F(\mathbf{x})$ is stable if, for all $t_{0}$ and all $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\delta$ such that:

$$
\left\|\mathbf{x}\left(t_{0}\right)-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}\right\|<\delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left\|\mathbf{x}(t)-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}\right\|<\epsilon, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}
$$




Figure: The equilibrium point $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathbf{0}$ is stable since all trajectories that start near $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}$ remain near $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}$

## Asymptotically Stable Equilibrium

An equilibrium $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}$ of $\dot{\mathbf{x}}=F(\mathbf{x})$ is asymptotically stable if

- $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is a stable equilibrium,
- for all $t_{0}$ there exists $\delta$ such that:

$$
\left\|\mathbf{x}\left(t_{0}\right)-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}\right\|<\delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mathbf{x}(t)-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}\right\|=0
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}_{1}=x_{2} \\
& \dot{x}_{2}=-x_{1}-x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure: The equilibrium point $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathbf{0}$ is asymptotically stable since all trajectories that start near $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}$ converge to $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

## Unstable Equilibrium

- An equilibrium point is unstable if it is not stable


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}_{1}=2 x_{1}-x_{2} \\
& \dot{x}_{2}=-x_{1}+2 x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure: The equilibrium point $\mathbf{x}_{e}=\mathbf{0}$ is unstable since not all trajectories that start near $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}$ remain near $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{e}}$

## Sink, Source, Saddle

- Equilibrium points have names based on their stability type
- Sink: an asymptotically stable equilibrium point
- Source: an unstable equilibrium point with all trajectories leading away
- Saddle: an unstable equilibrium point with some trajectories leading away
- Center: a stable but not asymptotically stable equilibrium point



## LTI ODE Stability

- Consider an LTI ODE system:

$$
\dot{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{A x}
$$

- An eigenvalue of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that:

$$
\operatorname{det}(\lambda \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{A})=0
$$

- The stability of $\mathbf{x}_{e}=\mathbf{0}$ is determined by the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}$


## Example

- System:

$$
\dot{\mathbf{x}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{x}
$$

- Solution:

$$
x_{i}(t)=e^{\lambda_{i} t} x_{i}(0), \quad i=1,2
$$

- $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathbf{0}$ is stable if $\lambda_{i} \leq 0$, and asymptotically stable if $\lambda_{i}<0$


## LTI ODE Stability

## Lyapunov Stability of LTI ODE Systems

The following statements about an LTI ODE system, $\dot{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{A x}$, are equivalent:

- $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathbf{0}$ is a unique equilibrium and is asymptotically stable
- All eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ of $\mathbf{A}$ have strictly negative real parts: $\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)<0$
- If any eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$ of $\mathbf{A}$ has $\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)>0$, then $\mathbf{x}_{e}=\mathbf{0}$ is an unstable equilibrium
- If $\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \leq 0$ for all eigenvalues but some $\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=0$, then $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathbf{0}$ may or may not be stable


## Example: Second-order System

- Second-order system:

$$
\ddot{y}+2 \zeta \omega_{n} \dot{y}+\omega_{n}^{2} y=0
$$

- State-space model with $x_{1}=y$ and $x_{2}=\dot{y} / \omega_{n}$ :

$$
\dot{\mathbf{x}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \omega_{n} \\
-\omega_{n} & -2 \zeta \omega_{n}
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{x}
$$



- Eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(\lambda \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{A}) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda & -\omega_{n} \\
\omega_{n} & \lambda+2 \zeta \omega_{n}
\end{array}\right]\right)=\lambda^{2}+2 \zeta \omega_{n} \lambda+\omega_{n}^{2}=0 \\
\lambda_{1,2} & =-\zeta \omega_{n} \pm \omega_{n} \sqrt{\zeta^{2}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $\zeta>0$, the eigenvalues have negative real parts and the origin is asymptotically stable


## Stability Analysis in the Complex Domain

- LTI ODE Transfer Function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{B u}, \\
& \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{C} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{D} \mathbf{u}
\end{aligned} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad G(s)=\mathbf{C}(s \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{B}+\mathbf{D}
$$

- The eigenvalues $s$ of $\mathbf{A}$ satisfy $\operatorname{det}(s \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{A})=0$ and hence are related to the poles $G(s)$
- If $G(s)$ contains a pole $p$ in the right half-plane of $\mathbb{C}$, then the output $y(t)$ contains a term $r e^{p t}$, which will go to infinity
- If all poles of $G(s)$ are in the left half-plane of $\mathbb{C}$, then all terms $r e^{p t}$ in $y(t)$ will settle to a steady-state value


## BIBO Stability

- A signal $y(t)$ is bounded if $|y(t)| \leq M$ for some constant $M$ and all $t$
- An LTI ODE system is bounded-input bounded-output (BIBO) stable if every bounded input $u(t)$ leads to a bounded output $y(t)$
- A system is BIBO unstable if there is at least one bounded input that produces an unbounded output


## BIBO Stability of LTI ODE Systems

An LTI ODE system with transfer function $G(s)$ is:

- BIBO stable, if all poles of $G(s)$ are in the open left half-plane (OLHP) of $\mathbb{C}$,
- marginally BIBO stable, if all poles of $G(s)$ are in the closed left half-plane of $\mathbb{C}$ and all poles with zero real part are simple (multiplicity 1 ),
- BIBO unstable, otherwise.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Lyapunov stability } & \Rightarrow \text { BIBO stability } \\
\text { BIBO stability, controllability, observability } & \Rightarrow \text { Lyapunov stability }
\end{aligned}
$$

## No Pole-Zero Cancellation!

- Important: common poles and zeros in $G(s)$ should not be canceled before checking BIBO stability!
- A canceled pole will not show up in the forced response but will still appear in the natural response when the initial conditions are non-zero


## Example

- Consider the system: $\ddot{y}+2 \dot{y}-3 y=\dot{u}-u$
- Transfer function: $G(s)=\frac{Y(s)}{U(s)}=\frac{s-1}{s^{2}+2 s-3}=\frac{s-1}{(s+3)(s-1)}$
- Total response:

$$
Y(s)=\frac{s+2}{s^{2}+2 s-3} y(0)+\frac{1}{s^{2}+2 s-3} \dot{y}(0)+\underbrace{\frac{s-1}{s^{2}+2 s-3}}_{G(s)} U(s)
$$

- With bounded $u(t) \equiv 0$ but non-zero initial conditions $y(t)$ is unbounded:

$$
y(t)=\frac{y(0)}{4}\left(3 e^{t}+e^{-3 t}\right)+\frac{\dot{y}(0)}{4}\left(e^{t}-e^{-3 t}\right)
$$

## BIBO Stability Without Computing Poles

- A system with transfer function $G(s)=\frac{b(s)}{a(s)}$ is BIBO stable if all poles are in the OLHP of $\mathbb{C}$
- Computing the poles $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ might not always be easy, e.g., for high-order or symbolic characteristic polynomial:

$$
a(s)=a_{n} s^{n}+\ldots+a_{1} s+a_{0}=a_{n}\left(s-p_{1}\right) \cdots\left(s-p_{n}\right)
$$

- Whether the poles are in the OLHP can be verified from the coefficients of $a(s)$ rather than from the actual pole values
- Vieta's formulas relate the coefficients of a polynomial to its roots

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=-\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_{n}} \quad \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=(-1)^{n} \frac{a_{0}}{a_{n}} \quad \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n} \prod_{j=1}^{k} p_{i_{j}}=(-1)^{k} \frac{a_{n-k}}{a_{n}}
$$

## Necessary Condition for BIBO Stability of LTI ODE Systems

If all poles of a transfer function $G(s)=b(s) / a(s)$ are in the open left half-plane of $\mathbb{C}$, then all coefficients of the characteristic polynomial $a(s)$ will be non-zero and have the same sign.

## Example

- Consider an LTI ODE system with transfer function $G(s)=b(s) / a(s)$ and characteristic polynomial $a(s)$ shown below. Is this system BIBO stable?
- $a(s)=s^{3}-2 s^{2}+s+1$
- $a(s)=s^{4}+s^{2}+s+1$
$\Rightarrow a(s)=s^{3}+2 s^{2}+2 s+1$
- $a(s)=s^{3}+2 s^{2}+s+12$


## Necessary and Sufficient Condition for BIBO Stability

- In the 1870s-1890s, Edward Routh and Adolf Hurwitz independently developed a method for determining the locations in $\mathbb{C}$ but not the actual values of the roots of a complex polynomial with constant real coefficients
- Characteristic polynomial:

$$
a(s)=a_{n} s^{n}+a_{n-1} s^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{2} s^{2}+a_{1} s+a_{0}
$$

- Routh-Hurwitz method
- construct a table with $n+1$ rows from the coefficients $a_{i}$ of $a(s)$
- relate the number of sign changes in the first column of the table to the number of roots in the closed right half-plane

E. Routh

A. Hurwitz


## Routh Table

$>a(s)=a_{n} s^{n}+a_{n-1} s^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{2} s^{2}+a_{1} s+a_{0}$

| $s^{n}$ | $a_{n}$ | $a_{n-2}$ | $a_{n-4}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s^{n-1}$ | $a_{n-1}$ | $a_{n-3}$ | $a_{n-5}$ | $\cdots$ | 0 |
| $s^{n-2}$ | $b_{n-1}=-\frac{\left\|\begin{array}{ll}a_{n} & a_{n-2} \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-3}\end{array}\right\|}{a_{n-1}}$ | $b_{n-3}=-\frac{\left\|\begin{array}{ll}a_{n} & a_{n-4} \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-5}\end{array}\right\|}{a_{n-1}}$ | $b_{n-5}$ | $\cdots$ | 0 |
| $s^{n-3}$ | $\left.c_{n-1}=-\frac{\left\|\begin{array}{ll}a_{n-1} & a_{n-3} \\ b_{n-1} & b_{n-3}\end{array}\right\|}{b_{n-1}}$$a_{n-5}$ <br> $b_{n-1}$ <br> $b_{n-5}$ \right\rvert\, |  |  |  |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $c_{n-3}=-\frac{b_{n-1}}{b_{n-1}}$ | $c_{n-5}$ | $\cdots$ | 0 |
| $s^{0}$ | $a_{0}$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\vdots$ |

- Any row can be multiplied by a positive constant without changing the result


## Routh-Hurwitz BIBO Stability Criterion

## Theorem: Routh-Hurwitz

Consider a Routh table constructed from a polynomial a(s). The number of sign changes in the first column of the Routh table is equal to the number of roots of $a(s)$ in the closed right half-plane of $\mathbb{C}$.

## Corollary

An LTI ODE system with transfer function $G(s)=b(s) / a(s)$ is BIBO stable if and only if there are no sign changes in the first column of the Routh table of $a(s)$.

- There are two special cases related to the Routh table:

1. The first element of a row is 0 but some of the other elements are not

- Solution: replace the 0 with an arbitrary small $\epsilon$

2. All elements of a row are 0

- Solution: replace the zero row with the coefficients of $\frac{d A(s)}{d s}$, where $A(s)$ is an auxiliary polynomial with coefficients from the row just above the zero row


## Example: Second-order System

- Consider the characteristic polynomial of a second-order system:

$$
a(s)=a s^{2}+b s+c
$$

- The Routh table is:

| $s^{2}$ | $a$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s^{1}$ | $b$ | 0 |
| $s^{0}$ | $-\frac{1}{b}(0-b c)=c$ | 0 |

- A necessary and sufficient condition for BIBO stability of a second-order system is that all coefficients of the characteristic polynomial are non-zero and have the same sign.


## Example: Third-order System

- Consider the characteristic polynomial of a third-order system:

$$
a(s)=a_{3} s^{3}+a_{2} s^{2}+a_{1} s+a_{0}
$$

- The Routh table is:

| $s^{3}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s^{2}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{0}$ |
| $s^{1}$ | $-\frac{1}{a_{2}}\left(a_{3} a_{0}-a_{1} a_{2}\right)$ | 0 |
| $s^{0}$ | $a_{0}$ | 0 |

- A necessary and sufficient condition for BIBO stability of a third-order system is that all coefficients of the characteristic polynomial are non-zero, have the same sign, and $a_{1} a_{2}>a_{0} a_{3}$.
- If $a_{1} a_{2}=a_{0} a_{3}$, one pair of roots lies on the imaginary axis in the $s$ plane and the system is marginally stable. This results in an all zero row in the Routh table.


## Example: Higher-order System

- Consider the characteristic polynomial of a fifth-order system:

$$
a(s)=s^{5}+s^{4}+10 s^{3}+72 s^{2}+152 s+240
$$

- The Routh table is:

| $s^{5}$ | 1 | 10 | 152 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s^{4}$ | 1 | 72 | 240 |
| $s^{3}$ | -62 | -88 | 0 |
| $s^{2}$ | 70.6 | 240 | 0 |
| $s^{1}$ | 122.6 | 0 | 0 |
| $s^{0}$ | 240 | 0 | 0 |

- Since there are two sign changes in the first column, there are two roots in the right half-plane and the system is unstable
- The roots of $a(s)$ are:

$$
a(s)=(s+3)(s+1 \pm j \sqrt{3})(s-2 \pm j 4)
$$

## Example: Special Case 1

- Consider the polynomial:

$$
a(s)=s^{5}+2 s^{4}+2 s^{3}+4 s^{2}+11 s+10
$$

- The Routh table is:

| $s^{5}$ | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s^{4}$ | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| $s^{3}$ | $\emptyset$ | 6 | 0 |
| $s^{2}$ | $c_{4}=\frac{1}{\epsilon}(4 \epsilon-12)$ | 10 | 0 |
| $s^{1}$ | $d_{4}=\frac{1}{c_{4}}\left(6 c_{4}-10 \epsilon\right)$ | 0 | 0 |
| $s^{0}$ | 10 | 0 | 0 |

- For $0<\epsilon \ll 1$, we see that $c_{4}<0$ and $d_{4}>0$
- Since there are two sign changes in the first column, there are two roots in the right half-plane and the system is unstable


## Example: Special Case 1

- Consider the polynomial:

$$
a(s)=s^{4}+s^{3}+2 s^{2}+2 s+3
$$

- The Routh table is:

| $s^{4}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s^{3}$ | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| $s^{2}$ | $\emptyset$ | 3 | 0 |
| $s^{1}$ | $2-\frac{3}{\epsilon}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $s^{0}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 |

- For $0<\epsilon \ll 1$, we see that $2-\frac{3}{\epsilon}<0$
- Since there are two sign changes in the first column, there are two roots in the right half-plane and the system is unstable


## Example: Special Case 2

- Consider the polynomial:

$$
a(s)=s^{3}+2 s^{2}+4 s+8
$$

- The Routh table is:

| $s^{3}$ | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s^{2}$ | 2 | 8 |
| $s^{1}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $s^{0}$ | 8 | 0 |

- There is an all-zero row at $s^{1}$
- The auxiliary polynomial is: $A(s)=2 s^{2}+8=2(s+j 2)(s-j 2)$
- There are two roots on the $j \omega$-axis and the system is marginally stable


## Example: Special Case 2

- Consider the polynomial:

$$
a(s)=s^{5}+s^{4}+2 s^{3}+2 s^{2}+s+1
$$

- The Routh table is:

| $s^{5}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $s^{4}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| $s^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $s^{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $s^{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $s^{0}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |

- There is an all-zero row at $s^{3}$ and $s^{1}$
- The auxiliary polynomial at the $s^{3}$ row is:

$$
A(s)=s^{4}+2 s^{2}+1=\left(s^{2}+1\right)^{2}=(s+j)(s-j)(s+j)(s-j)
$$

- There are repeated roots on the $j \omega$-axis and the system is unstable


## Example: Special Case 2

- Consider the polynomial:

$$
a(s)=s^{5}+4 s^{4}+8 s^{3}+8 s^{2}+7 s+4
$$

- The Routh table is:

| $s^{5}$ | 1 | 8 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s^{4}$ | 4 | 8 | 4 |
| $s^{3}$ | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| $s^{2}$ | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| $s^{1}$ | $\varnothing^{1}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $s^{0}$ | 4 | 0 | 0 |

- There is an all-zero row at $s^{1}$ with auxiliary polynomial

$$
A(s)=4 s^{2}+4=4\left(s^{2}+1\right)=4(s+j)(s-j)
$$

- There are two roots on the $j \omega$-axis and the system is marginally stable


## Example: Parametric System



- The Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion can be used to determine the range of system parameters for which the system is stable
- Transfer function: $T(s)=\frac{K}{s^{3}+8 s^{2}+9 s+(K-18)}$
- Characteristic polynomial: $a(s)=s^{3}+8 s^{2}+9 s+(K-18)$


## Example: Parametric System

- Characteristic polynomial: $a(s)=s^{3}+8 s^{2}+9 s+(K-18)$
- The Routh table is:

| $s^{3}$ | 1 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s^{2}$ | 8 | $(K-18)$ |
| $s^{1}$ | $\frac{90-K}{8}$ | 0 |
| $s^{0}$ | $(K-18)$ | 0 |

- There will be no sign changes in the first column of the Routh table if $(90-K)>0$ and $(K-18)>0$
- The system is BIBO stable if and only if $18<K<90$


## Outline
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## Stability

Linearization

## Nonlinear Systems

- Most practical systems are nonlinear:
- No control input:

$$
\dot{\mathrm{x}}=F(\mathrm{x})
$$

- With control input:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\mathbf{x}}=f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \\
& \mathbf{y}=g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})
\end{aligned}
$$

- Common approach for nonlinear system analysis and control design:
- Approximate the system by a linear one around an equilibrium point $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}$
- Study the behavior of the approximate linear model
- If a control law is given, analyze the system stability
- Otherwise, design a control law using the linear model
- Verify the results in the original closed-loop nonlinear system


## Linearization

- Linearization: linear approximation of a function $f(x)$ in the neighborhood of a point $x_{\mathrm{e}}$ usually based on a Taylor series expansion
- Taylor series of infinitely differentiable function $f(x)$ around point $x_{e}$ :

$$
f(x)=f\left(x_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{e}}\right)\left(x-x_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\mathrm{e}}\right)}{2!}\left(x-x_{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{2}+\ldots+\frac{f^{(n)}\left(x_{\mathrm{e}}\right)}{n!}\left(x-x_{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{n}+\ldots
$$

## Examples

- Taylor series of $f(x)$ around $x_{e}=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{x} & =1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\ldots+\frac{x^{n}}{n!}+\ldots \\
\sin (x) & =x-\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\frac{x^{5}}{5!}-\ldots \\
\cos (x) & =1-\frac{x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{x^{4}}{4!}-\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linearization: No Control Input

- Consider a nonlinear system with equilibrium $\mathbf{x}_{e}$ :

$$
\dot{\mathbf{x}}=F(\mathbf{x}) \quad F\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)=\mathbf{0}
$$

- Taylor series expansion of $F(\mathbf{x})$ around $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}$ :

$$
F(\mathbf{x})=F\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{\mathbf{0}}+\left.\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right|_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{e}\right)+\text { higher-order terms in }\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{e}\right)
$$

- Define a new state $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{e}$ to obtain a linear approximation around $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}$ :

$$
\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}} \approx \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \quad \text { with } \quad \mathbf{A}=\left.\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right|_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}}
$$

## Example: Inverted Pendulum

- Consider a damped inverted pendulum:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{1} \\
\dot{x}_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{2} \\
\sin \left(x_{1}\right)-c x_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Step 1: find equilibrium points:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\pi \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Step 2: Linearize the system around an equilibrium, e.g., $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}=(0,0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =x_{2} \\
f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\sin x_{1}-c x_{2} \approx f_{2}(0,0)+\left.\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right|_{(0,0)}\left(x_{1}-0\right)+\left.\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\right|_{(0,0)}\left(x_{2}-0\right) \\
& =0+x_{1}-c x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Step 3: Define a new state $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}$ to obtain a linear model:

$$
\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & -c
\end{array}\right] \tilde{\mathbf{x}}
$$

## Example: Inverted Pendulum

- Consider a damped inverted pendulum:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{1} \\
\dot{x}_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{2} \\
\sin \left(x_{1}\right)-c x_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Step 1: find equilibrium points:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\pi \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Step 2: Linearize the system around an equilibrium, e.g., $\mathbf{x}_{e}=(\pi, 0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =x_{2} \\
f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\sin x_{1}-c x_{2} \approx f_{2}(\pi, 0)+\left.\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right|_{(\pi, 0)}\left(x_{1}-\pi\right)+\left.\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\right|_{(\pi, 0)}\left(x_{2}-0\right) \\
& =0-\left(x_{1}-\pi\right)-c x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Step 3: Define a new state $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}$ to obtain a linear model:

$$
\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & -c
\end{array}\right] \tilde{\mathbf{x}}
$$

## Lyapunov's First Method for Stability

- Lyapunov's first method is an approach to test the stability of a nonlinear system equilibrium by considering the system's linearization


## Theorem

Consider a nonlinear system $\dot{\mathbf{x}}=F(\mathbf{x})$ with equilibrium $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathbf{0}$.

- If all eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}=\left.\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right|_{\mathbf{x}_{e}}$ have negative real parts, then $\mathbf{x}_{e}=0$ is locally asymptotically stable.
- If one or more eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}=\left.\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right|_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}}$ have positive real parts, then $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}=0$ is unstable.


## Example: Inverted Pendulum Stability

- Consider a damped inverted pendulum with $c>0$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{x}_{1} \\
\dot{x}_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{2} \\
\sin \left(x_{1}\right)-c x_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Equilibrium ( 0,0 ): Unstable

$$
\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & -c
\end{array}\right] \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda & -1 \\
-1 & \lambda+c
\end{array}\right]\right)=\lambda^{2}+c \lambda-1=0
$$

- Equilibrium ( $\pi, 0$ ): Stable

$$
\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & -c
\end{array}\right] \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda & -1 \\
1 & \lambda+c
\end{array}\right]\right)=\lambda^{2}+c \lambda+1=0
$$

## Example: Inverted Pendulum Linearization around $(\pi, 0)$



Figure: Comparison between the phase portraits of (a) the nonlinear system and (b) its linear approximation around the origin. Notice that near the equilibrium point, the phase portraits are almost identical.

## Linearization: With Control Input

- Consider a nonlinear system with equilibrium ( $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\dot{\mathbf{x}}=f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) & f\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)=\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{y}=h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) & h\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)=\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{e}}
\end{array}
$$

- Define new state, input, and output:

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{y}}=\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{e}}
$$

- Taylor series expansion of $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$ and $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$ around $\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \approx f\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right|_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}+\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{u}}\right|_{\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)} \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \\
& h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \approx \underline{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}\right) \xrightarrow{ }+\left.\frac{\partial h}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right|_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}+\left.\frac{\partial h}{\partial \mathbf{u}}\right|_{\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- LTI system approximation:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}}=\mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}+\mathbf{B} \tilde{\mathbf{u}} & \mathbf{A}=\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right|_{\left(\mathbf{x}_{e}, \mathbf{u}_{e}\right)} & \mathbf{B}=\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\right|_{\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)} \\
\tilde{\mathbf{y}}=\mathbf{C} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}+\mathbf{D} \tilde{\mathbf{u}} & \mathbf{C}=\left.\frac{\partial h}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right|_{\left(\mathbf{x}_{e}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)} & \mathbf{D}=\left.\frac{\partial h}{\partial \mathbf{u}}\right|_{\left(\mathbf{x}_{e}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)}
\end{array}
$$

## Linearization: Summary



Figure: General control design approach


Figure: Model linearization procedure

